UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/742,128	12/19/2003	Ankur P. Panchbudhe	VRT0117US	5026
	7590 09/30/200 TEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
	RY OAKS TERRACE	DOAN, DUC T		
BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/742,128	PANCHBUDHE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DUC T. DOAN	2185			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	una 2009				
•						
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayre, 1909 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 27,29-42,44-46,48-50,52-54 and 62-6	64 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27, 29-42, 44-46, 48-50, 52-54 and 62-64</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	•	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-26, 28, 43, 47, 51 and 55-61 have been canceled.

Claims 27, 29-42, 44-46, 48-50, 52-54 and 62-64 remain pending.

Claims 27, 29-42, 44-46, 48-50, 52-54 and 62-64 are rejected.

Claims Objections

Claims 38,40,54, 63 are objected to because:

Claim 38 line 2, "the set of location description" lack antecedent basis.

And it's not clear which local description is referred to.

Claim 40 at line 2, "the at least one location description" lacks antecedent basis; at line 4, "the operation" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 54 line 4, "the computer-readable medium" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 63 at line 3, "the operation" lacks antecedent basis; at lines 3-4, "the given location" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 2185

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27, 29-42, 44-46, 48-50, 52-54 and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US Pub 2003/0149683) in view of Nakajima (US Pat. 5659747).

As in claim 27, Lee discloses a method comprising: in response to a request to perform a first operation on volume wherein the volume comprises a plurality of physical locations (Fig 1, par. 15, storage device 140 store data in volume, par. 43, data stored in 140 comprises physical blocks such as 512 bytes; logical block can be one or more physical blocks and vice versa), at least one of the physical locations having data stored thereon (par. 44-45, operations on stored data includes reading/writing physical blocks); accessing a volume sieve wherein the volume sieve comprises:

a property (par 45. mapping structures for operations, such as backup, par. 84),

operation is performed on a set of physical locations of the volume, wherein the set of physical locations is identified by a set of location descriptions (par. 79, set of extents, i.e. claim's set of physical location, is represented by set of bitmaps of the extent, i.e claim's set of location descriptions);

the set of location descriptions wherein

each location description of the set of location descriptions identifies one or more of the physical locations of the volume (par. 79, bitmap of each extent identifies physical locations in the extent); and the set of location descriptions

Art Unit: 2185

identifies all the physical locations within the volume upon which the operations can be performed (par. 79, bitmap of set of extents identifies physical locations in the volume); detecting whether a given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 79, accessing data at a given physical location by lookup bitmaps); performing the one or more operations upon the given physical location if the given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 80, change of physical block is identified; to apply appropriated operation for physical blocks, par. 84).

Lee does not expressly disclose the claim's information relates to operations and performing operations. However, Nakajima discloses the property includes information identifying one or more operations (Fig 4c, log/list for instructions to be processed); the one or more operations are performed in response to the request to perform the first operation (col. 1 lines 25-55, storing several commands and associating information in a log such that series of command are processed after a first command). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include storing commands as suggested by Nakajima in Lee's system to process several commands quickly and efficiently and thereby further improve the overall system's performance (col. 5 lines 65 to col. 6 line 13).

As in claim 29, Lee further discloses the set of location descriptions is specified by an application program (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents).

Art Unit: 2185

As in claim 30, Lee further discloses wherein the first operation is replication (par. 84, backup operation).

As in claim 31, Lee further discloses obtaining a set of entities (par. 103, identifying objects and locations), wherein the plurality of physical locations comprises a plurality of subsets of physical locations (par. 79, physical locations comprise several extents, each extent comprises several physical blocks, i.e claim's subsets) and an entity in the set of entities has permission to perform the operation on respective data in at least one of the plurality of subsets of physical locations (par. 103, par. 87, identifying objects filename and locations that are permitted/listed in a dictionary);

As in claim 32, Lee further discloses wherein the set location descriptions and the corresponding property are designated by a requester (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 33, Lee further discloses obtaining a designation of the first operation (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 34, Lee further discloses wherein the requester manages data in the volume (pars 8 and 15, Fig 1, operations of applications running in 310 comprises add delete modifying etc data of 140 volumes).

As in claim 35, Lee further discloses wherein the requester performs a management function of a set of management functions for the volume (pars 8

Art Unit: 2185

and 15, Fig 1, operations/set of operations of applications running in 310 comprises add delete modifying etc data/volume 140).

As in claim 36, Lee further discloses wherein the requester identifies a respective physical location in the volume corresponding to each location described in set of location descriptions (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 37, Lee further discloses wherein each location described in the at least one location description is specified by a beginning location and a number of contiguous locations starting at the beginning location (par. 79, extents and offset).

As in claim 38, Lee further discloses wherein the set of location description is designated by a set of indicators, wherein the set of indicators comprises an indicator for each respective location of the plurality of locations (par. 79, bitmap each bit represents/indicates a data block), and each indicator of the set of indicators indicates whether the respective location for the indicator is described in the at least one location description (par. 79, bitmap each bit represents/ indicates a data block for the corresponding operation).

As in claim 39, Lee further discloses obtaining a set of locations (par. 79, locations for objects of operations). Lee does not expressly disclose performing of second operation on the given physical location. However, Nakajima further discloses and performing a second operation on the set of locations after the operation is performed on the given physical location (col. 1 lines 25-55, storing

Art Unit: 2185

several commands and associating information in a log such that series of command are processed after a first command). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to adopt the teaching of Nakajima in Lee's system for the same reasons stated above.

As in claim 40, Lee further discloses wherein the at least one location description and the property are designated by the requester; and the operation and the second operation are designated by the requester (par. 103, several operations on rows/set of locations by applications running in 310 for data objects stored in 140).

As in claim 41, Lee further discloses wherein each type of operation in the volume sieve is performed on the given location if the volume sieve is specified (pars 79-80, 84, myriad applications/operations including backup can be realized using mapping blocks locations of data stored in volumes, see par. 15).

As in claim 42, Lee further discloses a system comprising: means for storing a sieve associated with an operation (Fig 3, pars. 44-46, metadata/sieve describes objects, files, mapping locations etc..for operation of the application); and means for performing the following in response to a request to perform a first operation on a volume wherein the volume comprises a plurality of physical locations (Fig 1, par. 15, storage device 140 store data in volume, par. 43, data stored in 140 comprises physical blocks such as 512 bytes; logical block can be one or more physical blocks and vice versa), wherein at least one of the physical locations having data stored thereon (par. 44-45, operations on stored data

Art Unit: 2185

includes reading/writing physical blocks), and wherein the means for performing the following comprise:

means for accessing a volume sieve wherein the volume sieve comprises: a property (par 45. mapping structures for operations, such as backup, par. 84),

Ooperation is performed on a set of physical locations of the volume wherein the set of physical locations is identified by a set of location descriptions (par. 79, backup for certain physical blocks identified by bitmap, each represent a physical block, an extent is a set of physical blocks); and

the set of location descriptions wherein each location description of the set of location descriptions identifies one or more of the physical locations of the volume (par. 79, bitmap of each extent identifies physical locations in the extent) and the set of location descriptions identifies all the physical locations within the volume upon which the operations can be performed (pars. 79, 15 bitmap of set of extents identifies physical locations in the volume); means for detecting whether a given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 79, accessing data at a given physical location by lookup bitmaps); and means for performing the one or more operations upon the given physical location if the given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 80, change of physical block is identified; to apply appropriated operation for physical blocks, par. 84).

Lee does not expressly disclose the claim's information relates to operations and performing operations. However, Nakajima discloses the property

Art Unit: 2185

includes information identifying one or more operations (Fig 4c, log/list for instructions to be processed); the one or more operations are performed in response to the request to perform the first operation (col. 1 lines 25-55, storing several commands and associating information in a log such that series of command are processed after a first command). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include storing commands as suggested by Nakajima in Lee's system to process several commands quickly and efficiently and thereby further improve the overall system's performance (col. 5 lines 65 to col. 6 line 13).

As in claim 44, Lee further discloses wherein the set location descriptions and the corresponding property are designated by a requester (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 45, Lee further discloses means obtaining a designation of the first operation (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 46, Lee discloses a system comprising: one or more storage devices, wherein the one or more storage devices comprise a plurality of physical locations in a volume (Fig 1 storage 140 stores data/volumes of 322 324), wherein at least one of the physical locations has data stored thereon (par. 44-45, operations on stored data includes reading/writing physical blocks); and

a module configured to perform the following in response to a request to perform a first operation on the volume (Fig 1 a request of an application for an operation regarding data in storage system 140; data of volume and/or file system 322 324 comprises extents and/or blocks and/or bytes and/or bit etc.. as shown in Fig 5a), wherein the module configured to perform the following comprises: a module configured to access a volume sieve wherein the volume sieve comprises:

a property (par 45. mapping structures for operations, such as backup, par. 84),

operation is performed on a set of physical locations of the volume wherein the set of physical locations is identified by a set of location descriptions (par. 79, backup for certain physical blocks identified by bitmap, each represent a physical block, an extent is a set of physical blocks);

and the set of location descriptions wherein each location description of the set of location descriptions identifies one or more of the physical locations of the volume (par. 79, bitmap of each extent identifies physical locations in the extent), and

the set of location descriptions identifies all the physical locations within the volume upon which the operations can be performed (pars. 79, 15 bitmap of set of extents identifies physical locations in the volume); a module configured to detect whether a given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 79, accessing data at a given physical location by lookup bitmaps); and a module configured to perform the one or more operations upon

the given physical location if the given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 80, change of physical block is identified; to apply appropriated operation for physical blocks, par. 84).

Lee does not expressly disclose the claim's information relates to operations and performing operations. However, Nakajima discloses the property includes information identifying one or more operations (Fig 4c, log/list for instructions to be processed); the one or more operations are performed in response to the request to perform the first operation (col. 1 lines 25-55, storing several commands and associating information in a log such that series of command are processed after a first command). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include storing commands as suggested by Nakajima in Lee's system to process several commands quickly and efficiently and thereby further improve the overall system's performance (col. 5 lines 65 to col. 6 line 13).

As in claim 48, Lee further discloses wherein the set location descriptions and the corresponding property are designated by a requester (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 49, Lee further discloses obtaining a designation of the first operation (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 50, Lee discloses a computer-readable storage medium comprising (page 19 claim 28): instructions configured to perform the following in response to a request to perform an operation on a volume, wherein the volume comprises a plurality of physical locations (Fig 1, par. 15, storage device 140 store data in volume, par. 43, data stored in 140 comprises physical blocks such as 512 bytes; logical block can be one or more physical blocks and vice versa), wherein at least one of the physical locations having data stored thereon (par. 44-45, operations on stored data includes reading/writing physical blocks); wherein the instructions configured to perform the following comprise: instructions configured to access a volume sieve wherein the volume sieve comprises:

a property (par 45. mapping structures for operations, such as backup, par. 84),

operation is performed on a set of physical locations of the volume wherein the set of physical locations is identified by a set of location descriptions (par. 79, backup for certain physical blocks identified by bitmap, each represent a physical block, an extent is a set of physical blocks); and

the set of location descriptions wherein each location description of the set of location descriptions identifies one or more of the physical locations of the volume (par. 79, bitmap of each extent identifies physical locations in the extent) and the set of location descriptions identifies all the physical locations within the volume upon which the operations can be performed (par. 79, bitmap of set of extents identifies physical locations in the volume); instructions configured to

Art Unit: 2185

detect whether a given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 79, accessing data at a given physical location by lookup bitmaps); and instructions configured to perform the one or more operations upon the given physical location if the given physical location is identified by the set of location descriptions (par. 80, change of physical block is identified; to apply appropriated operation for physical blocks, par. 84);

Lee does not expressly disclose the claim's information relates to operations and performing operations. However, Nakajima discloses the property includes information identifying one or more operations (Fig 4c, log/list for instructions to be processed); the one or more operations are performed in response to the request to perform the first operation (col. 1 lines 25-55, storing several commands and associating information in a log such that series of command are processed after a first command). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include storing commands as suggested by Nakajima in Lee's system to process several commands quickly and efficiently and thereby further improve the overall system's performance (col. 5 lines 65 to col. 6 line 13).

As in claim 52, Lee further discloses wherein the set location descriptions and the corresponding property are designated by a requester (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 53, instructions configured to obtain a designation of the first operation (pars. 84, 79 application program such as backup for logical objects

Art Unit: 2185

which are designated /mapped to extents; par 103, dictionary for files/objects designated for operation).

As in claim 54, Lee further discloses a computer system comprising: a processor (Fig 1 110); and the computer-readable storage medium of claim 50 (Fig 1 140 storage), wherein the computer-readable medium is coupled to the processor (Fig 1 140 storage).

As in claim 62, Lee further discloses wherein the performing the operation further comprises: providing a function name to a requestor, wherein the requestor generated the request (par 11, providing change function API).

As in claim 63, Lee further discloses wherein the property also comprises information specifying a characteristic of data stored in the plurality of physical locations, wherein the operation is only performed on the given location if data stored in the given location has the characteristic (par. 79, operation performed for changed physical data blocks).

As in claim 64, Lee further discloses wherein the property comprises information identifying a plurality of operations (par. 8, identifying operations such as add delete modifying etc).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments in response to the last office action has been fully considered but they are mooted in view of new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the Applicant's amendments to the claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 36 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to provide the examiner with the paragraph numbers, and/or line numbers and page numbers in the application to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-4171. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sanjiv Shah can be reached on 571-272-4098. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/742,128 Page 16

Art Unit: 2185

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Sanjiv Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185